

DeWitt Government Center Facility Plan Final Environmental Impact Report



Prepared For:
Placer County
Department of
Facility Services
11476 C Avenue
Auburn, CA 95603

Prepared By:
North Fork Associates
110 Maple St., Suite 100
Auburn, CA 95603



December 2003

DeWitt Government Center Facility Plan Final Environmental Impact Report

(SCH #2002122071)

Lead Agency:

Placer County Department of Facility Services
11476 C Avenue
Auburn, CA 95603
(530) 886-4900
Contact: Dennis Salter

Prepared By:

North Fork Associates
110 Maple Street, Suite 100
Auburn, CA 95603
(530) 887-8500

With Assistance From:

URS Corporation
DKS Associates

December 19, 2003

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES.....	ii
LIST OF FIGURES.....	iii

SECTION I: FINAL EIR

CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION.....	1-1
	1.1 Organization	1-1
	1.2 Final EIR Preparation.....	1-2
	1.3 New Information and Need for Recirculation	1-4
	1.4 Text Changes in the Draft EIR	1-4
	1.5 Edits to Mitigation Measures.....	1-6
CHAPTER 2	COMMENTS AND RESPONSES	

SECTION II: DRAFT EIR

CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	
	1.1 Purpose.....	1-1
	1.2 Tiering.....	1-2
	1.3 Mitigation and Monitoring Reporting Program.....	1-2
	1.4 Focus	1-3
	1.5 Organization.....	1-4
	1.6 Definition of Terms.....	1-5
	1.7 EIR Preparation	1-5
CHAPTER 2	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	
	2.1 Project Location.....	2-1
	2.2 Site Description	2-1
	2.3 General Plan and Zoning Designations	2-5
	2.4 Project Objectives	2-5
	2.5 Project Description.....	2-8
	2.6 Entitlements and Required Approvals	2-30
CHAPTER 3	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	
	3.1 Summary of the Proposed Project	3-1
	3.2 Potential Areas of Controversy and Issues Raised.....	3-3
	3.3 Environmental Setting for Impact Analysis.....	3-3
	3.4 Significant Effects of the Project.....	3-4
	3.5 Cumulative Impacts	3-5
	3.6 Alternatives to the Proposed Project.....	3-5
	3.7 Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures	3-5
CHAPTER 4	LAND USE AND HOUSING	
	4.1 Setting	4-1
	4.2 Regulatory Framework	4-6

	4.3 Impacts	4-15
	4.4 Mitigation Measures	4-28
CHAPTER 5	AESTHETICS	
	5.1 Setting	5-1
	5.2 Regulatory Framework	5-5
	5.3 Impacts	5-9
	5.4 Mitigation Measures	5-24
CHAPTER 6	TRANSPORTATION AND CIRCULATION	
	6.1 Setting	6-1
	6.2 Regulatory Framework	6-9
	6.3 Impacts	6-13
	6.4 Mitigation Measures	6-35
CHAPTER 7	AIR QUALITY	
	7.1 Setting	7-1
	7.2 Regulatory Framework	7-6
	7.3 Impacts	7-10
	7.4 Mitigation Measures	7-29
CHAPTER 8	NOISE	
	8.1 Setting	8-1
	8.2 Regulatory Framework	8-9
	8.3 Impacts	8-12
	8.4 Mitigation Measures	8-22
CHAPTER 9	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES	
	9.1 Setting	9-1
	9.2 Regulatory Framework	9-19
	9.3 Impacts	9-28
	9.4 Mitigation Measures	9-37
CHAPTER 10	GEOLOGY, SOILS, AND SEISMICITY	
	10.1 Setting	10-1
	10.2 Regulatory Framework	10-9
	10.3 Impacts	10-11
	10.4 Mitigation Measures	10-15
CHAPTER 11	HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY	
	11.1 Setting	11-1
	11.2 Regulatory Framework	11-10
	11.3 Impacts	11-14
	11.4 Mitigation Measures	11-22

CHAPTER 12	CULTURAL RESOURCES	
	12.1 Setting	12-1
	12.2 Regulatory Framework	12-11
	12.3 Impacts	12-14
	12.4 Mitigation Measures	12-17
CHAPTER 13	PUBLIC FACILITIES	
	13.1 Water Supply	
	Setting	13-1
	Regulatory Framework.....	13-4
	Impacts.....	13-6
	Mitigation Measures	13-7
	13.2 Wastewater	
	Setting	13-7
	Regulatory Framework.....	13-8
	Impacts.....	13-8
	Mitigation Measures	13-9
	13.3 Fire Protection	
	Setting	13-10
	Regulatory Framework.....	13-10
	Impacts.....	13-11
	Mitigation Measures	13-12
	13.4 Law Enforcement	
	Setting	13-12
	Regulatory Framework.....	13-13
	Impacts.....	13-14
	Mitigation Measures	13-14
	13.5 Schools	
	Setting	13-15
	Regulatory Framework.....	13-15
	Impacts.....	13-16
	Mitigation Measures	13-16
	13.6 Utility Services	
	Setting	13-16
	Regulatory Framework.....	13-18
	Impacts.....	13-19
	Mitigation Measures	13-20
	13.7 Solid Waste	
	Setting	13-20
	Regulatory Framework.....	13-21
	Impacts.....	13-22
	Mitigation Measures	13-23
CHAPTER 14	HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	
	14.1 Setting	14-1
	14.2 Regulatory Framework	14-9

	14.3 Impacts	14-11
	14.4 Mitigation Measures.....	14-17
CHAPTER 15	CEQA DISCUSSIONS	
	15.1 Growth Inducing Effects of the Proposed Project.....	15-1
	15.2 Irreversible Environmental Changes	15-3
	15.3 Cumulative Impacts of the Proposed Project.....	15-3
CHAPTER 16	ALTERNATIVES	
	16.1 Selection of Alternatives for DeWitt Government Center Facility Plan	16-1
	16.2 Alternatives Considered and Rejected.....	16-3
	16.3 Alternatives Selected for Analysis.....	16-4
	16.4 Analysis of Alternatives to DeWitt Government Center Facility Plan	16-7
	16.5 Environmentally Superior Alternatives.....	16-28
CHAPTER 17	MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM	
	17.1 Introduction	17-1
	17.2 Placer County Standard Mitigation Monitoring Plan.....	17-1
	17.3 Project-Specific Mitigation Monitoring Plans	17-3
CHAPTER 18	REFERENCES	
APPENDICES ARE BOUND SEPARATELY		

LIST OF TABLES

SECTION I: FINAL EIR

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

Table 1.1	Index of Changes made to the Draft EIR.....	1-4
-----------	---	-----

CHAPTER 2 COMMENTS AND RESPONSES

Table 2.1 (E)	Tree Data Summary.....	2-23
---------------	------------------------	------

SECTION II: DRAFT EIR

CHAPTER 2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Table 2.1	Entitlements & Required Approvals.....	2-31
-----------	--	------

CHAPTER 3 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Table 3.1	Executive Summary Table.....	3-7
-----------	------------------------------	-----

CHAPTER 4 LAND USE AND HOUSING

Table 4.1	Placer County Income Limits by Household Size.....	4-16
Table 4.2	Housing Affordability (Maximum Monthly Housing Cost).....	4-17
Table 4.3	Current and Potential Future Development Projects with Affordable Housing Units	4-18
Table 4.4	Inconsistencies with <i>Auburn/Bowman Community Plan</i> Policies	4-20
Table 4.5	Inconsistencies with <i>Placer County General Plan</i> Policies	4-23

CHAPTER 6 TRANSPORTATION AND CIRCULATION

Table 6.1	Level of Service (LOS) Definitions – Signalized Intersections	6-2
Table 6.2	Level of Service (LOS) Definitions – Unsignalized Intersections	6-4
Table 6.3	Existing Level of Service.....	6-4
Table 6.4	Existing Traffic Volumes Entering and Exiting DeWitt Center	6-5
Table 6.5	Existing Traffic Volume Distribution Entering and Exiting DeWitt Center	6-8
Table 6.6	Estimated Growth in Vehicle Trips Generated by DeWitt Center	6-16
Table 6.7	2006 Levels of Service – With Project.....	6-24
Table 6.8	2020 Levels of Service – With Project.....	6-31

CHAPTER 7 AIR QUALITY

Table 7.1	Air Quality Data Summary, 1992-2001 Ozone Levels.....	7-2
Table 7.2	Air Quality Data Summary, 1992-1996 Measured PM ₁₀ Levels	7-4
Table 7.3	Ambient Air Quality Standards	7-7
Table 7.4	APCD Thresholds.....	7-11
Table 7.5	Phase B Unmitigated Operational Emissions.....	7-13
Table 7.6	Phase C Unmitigated Operational Emissions.....	7-14
Table 7.7	Phase D Unmitigated Operational Emissions	7-15
Table 7.8	Aggregate Unmitigated Operational Emissions	7-15
Table 7.9	Construction Equipment Exhaust Emissions	7-17
Table 7.10	Phase A Emissions, Unmitigated	7-19
Table 7.11	Phase A Emissions, Mitigated.....	7-20

Table 7.12	Phase B Emissions, Unmitigated	7-20
Table 7.13	Phase B Emissions, Mitigated	7-21
Table 7.14	Phase C Emissions, Unmitigated.....	7-22
Table 7.15	Phase C Emissions, Mitigated.....	7-22
Table 7.16	Phase D Emissions, Unmitigated	7-23
Table 7.17	Phase D Emissions, Mitigated.....	7-23
Table 7.18	Phase F Emissions, Unmitigated	7-24
Table 7.19	Phase F Emissions, Mitigated	7-24
Table 7.20	Phase H Emissions, Unmitigated	7-25
Table 7.21	Phase H Emissions, Mitigated	7-25
Table 7.22	Phase J Emissions, Unmitigated	7-26
Table 7.23	Phase J Emissions, Mitigated	7-27
Table 7.24	Aggregate Mitigated Emissions by Project Year.....	7-27
CHAPTER 8 NOISE		
Table 8.1	Definitions of Acoustical Terms	8-3
Table 8.2	Common Sound Levels and Their Noise Sources.....	8-7
Table 8.3	Noise Level Performance Standards.....	8-10
Table 8.4	Maximum Allowable Noise Exposure.....	8-10
Table 8.5	Allowable L _{dn} Noise Levels within Specified Zone Districts	8-11
Table 8.6	Roadway Segment Calculated L _{dn} Sound Levels.....	8-14
CHAPTER 9 BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES		
Table 9.1	Potential for Occurrence of Special Status Plant and Animal Species at DeWitt Center	9-10
Table 9.2	Potential Biological Resource Impacts by Phase	9-28
CHAPTER 10 GEOLOGY, SOILS AND SEISMICITY		
Table 10.1	Minimum Testing Frequencies for Native Soil Preparation.....	10-16
CHAPTER 11 HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY		
Table 11.1	Estimated Peak Flows	11-9
Table 11.2	Summary of Peak Flows and Maximum Water Surface Elevation for Pre- and Post-Development Conditions	11-20
CHAPTER 13 PUBLIC FACILITIES		
Table 13.1	Zones 1 and 5 Projected Water Supply and Demand Comparison.....	13-2
Table 13.2	NID Projected Water Supply and Demand	13-3
Table 13.3	Solid Waste Service	13-21
CHAPTER 14 HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS		
Table 14.1	Environmental Agency Data.....	14-3
Table 14.2	Status Summary of Known and Suspect USTs.....	14-7
CHAPTER 16 ALTERNATIVES		
Table 16.1	Comparison of Impacts of Project Alternatives to Impacts of Proposed Project.....	16-29

LIST OF FIGURES

SECTION I: FINAL EIR

CHAPTER 2 COMMENTS AND RESPONSES

Figure 2-1	Compatibility Zones.....	2-18
Figure 2-2	Transit Stop Relocation	2-26

SECTION II: DRAFT EIR

CHAPTER 2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Figure 2-1	Site and Vicinity Map.....	2-2
Figure 2-2	Aerial Photo.....	2-3
Figure 2-3	Community Plan.....	2-6
Figure 2-4	Zoning	2-7
Figure 2-5	Project Location Map	2-9
Figure 2-6	Aerial Photo with Building Numbers.....	2-10
Figure 2-7	Project Schedule	2-13
Figure 2-8	Conceptual Plan for Land Development Building	2-16
Figure 2-9	Land Development Building Elevations	2-18
Figure 2-10	Trail Plan.....	2-21
Figure 2-11	Conceptual Plan for Auburn Justice Center	2-23
Figure 2-12	Auburn Justice Center Elevations	2-24
Figure 2-13	Conceptual Plan for CES and WC.....	2-28

CHAPTER 5 AESTHETICS

Figure 5-1	Photos of Existing Buildings	5-2
Figure 5-2	Site Photos	5-4
Figure 5-3	Photos of Buildings to be Demolished.....	5-12
Figure 5-4	LDB Site Photos.....	5-13
Figure 5-5	LDB Tree Map	5-15
Figure 5-6	AJC Site Photos	5-16
Figure 5-7	CES/WC Site Photos	5-17

CHAPTER 6 TRANSPORTATION AND CIRCULATION

Figure 6-1	Study Area Roadways.....	6-3
Figure 6-2	Existing Conditions AM Peak Hour	6-6
Figure 6-3	Existing Conditions PM Peak Hour	6-7
Figure 6-4	2006 No Project AM Peak Hour	6-17
Figure 6-5	2006 No Project PM Peak Hour	6-18
Figure 6-6	2006 Shifts in Turning Movements with Project AM Peak Hour	6-20
Figure 6-7	2006 Shifts in Turning Movements with Project PM Peak Hour	6-21
Figure 6-8	2006 Changes in Traffic Volumes with Project AM Peak Hour	6-22
Figure 6-9	2006 Changes in Traffic Volumes with Project PM Peak Hour.....	6-23
Figure 6-10	2020 Plus Project AM Peak Hour	6-25
Figure 6-11	2020 Plus Project PM Peak Hour	6-26
Figure 6-12	2020 Shifts in Turning Movements with Project AM Peak Hour	6-28
Figure 6-13	2020 Shifts in Turning Movements with Project PM Peak Hour	6-29
Figure 6-14	2020 Changes in Traffic Volumes with Project AM Peak Hour	6-30

Figure 6-15	2020 Changes in Traffic Volumes with Project PM Peak Hour.....	6-31
CHAPTER 8 NOISE		
Figure 8-1	Noise Analysis Map	8-5
Figure 8-2	Typical Construction Equipment Noise Generation Levels	8-17
CHAPTER 9 BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES		
Figure 9-1	Habitat Map.....	9-4
Figure 9-2	Wetland Delineation Map	9-7
Figure 9-3	Tree Survey Map (LDB and AJC)	9-32
Figure 9-4	Tree Location Map.....	9-33
CHAPTER 10 GEOLOGY, SOILS AND SEISMICITY		
Figure 10-1	Regional Geology.....	10-3
Figure 10-2	Soil Map	10-4
Figure 10-3	Trench and Boring Locations	10-6
Figure 10-4	Trench and Boring Locations	10-7
CHAPTER 11 HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY		
Figure 11-1	Regional Hydrologic Setting	11-3
Figure 11-2	Site Hydrology	11-5
CHAPTER 16 ALTERNATIVES		
Figure 16-1	Alternatives Photo	16-6

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

This document, in combination with the Public Draft Environmental Impact Report dated September 22, 2003, is the Final Environmental Impact Report for the DeWitt Government Center Facility Plan (2003 – 2010).

Upon completion of the Draft Environmental Impact Report (Draft EIR) for the DeWitt Government Center Facility Plan, CEQA requires the Lead Agency (County of Placer) to consult with and solicit comments from public agencies that have jurisdiction over the proposed project, as well as provide the public with opportunity to comment on the Draft EIR. The Draft EIR for the DeWitt Government Center Facility Plan was circulated for a forty-five (45) day public review period between September 26, 2003 and November 10, 2003.

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines Section 15132 states:

“The Final EIR shall consist of:

- a. The draft EIR or a revision of the draft.
- b. Comments and recommendations received on the draft EIR either verbatim or in summary.
- c. A list of persons, organizations, and public agencies commenting on the draft EIR.
- d. The responses of the Lead Agency to significant points raised in the review and consultation process.
- e. Any other information added by the Lead Agency.”

Pursuant to the CEQA guidelines, all written comments received during the public circulation period on the Draft EIR and the Lead Agency’s responses to those comments are included in this Final EIR. The Lead Agency is required to respond to significant issues raised during the review process.

1.1 ORGANIZATION

The Final EIR for the DeWitt Government Center Facility Plan project is organized into two sections as described below:

Section 1: Final EIR

Chapter 1 Introduction

This chapter describes the CEQA process as implemented for this project, provides information on the contents of this Final EIR, and summarizes text changes in the Draft EIR made in response to the agency comments received on the Draft EIR.

Chapter 2 Comments & Responses

This section presents the written comments on the Draft EIR, and the Lead Agency’s response to those comments. Each individual comment within each letter and the corresponding response is numbered. A direct response to each comment is provided. The analysis of each response is supported by existing text within the Draft EIR, revisions to existing text, data corrections, or other source material.

Section 2: Draft EIR

Some comments on the Draft EIR necessitated minor revisions to the Draft EIR text. Those revisions are discussed within the responses to each comment found in Chapter 2. The entire Draft EIR, with revisions shown, is reprinted in this section of the Final EIR.

Text deleted from the Draft EIR is shown in strikethrough type, while inserted text is shown in bold. Text changes, errata, and typographical errors are indexed and identified below in Section 1.4. No changes to the level of significance of impacts or need for mitigation measures were made. No significant new information was added.

Other than editorial corrections, no changes were made to the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program, which is found in Chapter 17 of the Draft EIR. No new mitigation measures have been added.

Section 3: Technical Appendices

The Technical Appendices that support the Draft EIR analysis have been reprinted and augmented by two additional biological resources reports. The Arborist Report for the Land Development Building site, prepared by Yamasaki Landscape Architecture, was inadvertently omitted from the Draft EIR printing. That report is now included in the separately bound Technical Appendices document. Additionally, a report documenting the methodology and results of a protocol-level red-legged frog survey conducted at the project site has been added to the Technical Appendices. That report was not available at the time of Draft EIR printing.

1.2 FINAL EIR PREPARATION

Public review of the Draft EIR was conducted for the objectives stated in Section 15200 of the CEQA Guidelines. These purposes are:

- a. Sharing expertise,
- b. Disclosing agency analyses,
- c. Checking for accuracy,
- d. Detecting omissions,
- e. Discovering public concerns, and
- f. Soliciting counter proposals.

In order to provide sufficient time for public review, the Draft EIR was circulated for 45 days, from September 26, 2003 and November 10, 2003, in accordance with Section 15105 of the CEQA Guidelines. The Guidelines state that the review period “shall not to be less than 30 days nor should it be longer than 60 days except under special circumstances.” The Draft EIR was submitted to the State Clearinghouse, which distributed the document to the following agencies and departments:

- a. California Highway Patrol
- b. Caltrans, District 3
- c. Caltrans, Division of Aeronautics
- d. Department of Conservation
- e. Department of Fish and Game, Region 2

- f. Department of Parks and Recreation
- g. Department of Toxic Substances Control
- h. Department of Water Resources
- i. Integrated Waste Management Board
- j. Native American Heritage Commission
- k. Office of Historic Preservation
- l. Regional Water Quality Control Board, Region 5
- m. Resources Agency
- n. State Lands Commission

The lead agency also distributed the Draft EIR to the following local and federal agencies, service providers, and conservation group:

- a. Placer County Planning Department
- b. Placer County Department of Public Works
- c. Placer County Department of Environmental Health
- d. Placer County Air Pollution Control District
- e. Placer County Transportation Planning Agency/ Airport Land Use Commission
- f. North Auburn Municipal Advisory Committee
- g. Placer Consolidated Fire Protection District
- h. Placer County Fire District/California Department of Forestry
- i. Nevada Irrigation District
- j. Placer County Water Agency
- k. Auburn Area Recreation District
- l. Auburn Union School District
- m. Placer Union School District
- n. Auburn Placer Disposal Service
- o. PG&E Auburn Land Rights Office
- p. U.S. Army Corp of Engineers
- q. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
- r. Sierra Club

The Lead Agency also published a Notice of Availability of the Draft EIR in the local paper, the Auburn Journal, on September 30, 2003 to provide notification to the public that the document was available for review.

Comments were received from several public agencies. No comments from individual citizens were received. Chapter 2 of this Final EIR provides the Lead Agency's response to all comments received. Some responses necessitate minor revision to the text of the Draft EIR. In those cases, the individual response to the comment documents the changes that were made to the Draft EIR text. The revised text pages are provided in Chapter 3 of this document. Some

comments received are not related to environmental issues and do not require a response, in accordance with Section 15132(d) of the CEQA Guidelines. In these cases, the comment and the lack of need for response are noted in Chapter 2.

1.3 NEW INFORMATION AND NEED FOR RECIRCULATION

Section 15088.5 of the CEQA Guidelines requires that an EIR be recirculated for public review and comment when “significant new information is added to the EIR after public notice is given of the availability of the draft EIR for public review.” The definition of “significant new information” is clarified under subsections 1 through 4 of this Guideline. They include disclosure of a new significant environmental impact, a substantial increase in the severity of an impact, identification of a feasible project alternative or mitigation measure considerably different from those previously analyzed, and fundamental and basic inadequacy in the Draft EIR. For this EIR, none of the comments received require the provision of significant new information or analysis. Therefore, there is no need for recirculation of the Draft EIR.

1.4 TEXT CHANGES IN THE DRAFT EIR

Revisions and changes to the text of the Draft EIR, including the Summary Table, are included in Chapter 3 of this Final EIR. These revisions are summarized below. In addition, Chapter 4 of this Final EIR presents the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program. Minor edits were made to this chapter to correct typographic errors. No substantive changes were made.

Minor changes were made in the project description contained in Chapters 2 and 5 to include the relocation of an existing bus stop that would be affected by the proposed Land Development Building, and in Chapters 2 and 4 to reflect the need for completion of project review by the Airport Land Use Commission (ALUC).

Minor edits were made in Chapters 3, 4, 9, and 17 to correct references to mitigation measure numbers. Finally, text was inserted in Chapters 2, 4, 6, and 14 to more accurately describe the requirements for project review by the Airport Land Use Commission and Federal Aviation Administration.

Table 1.1

Index of Changes made to the Draft EIR

Draft EIR original page number	Primary Change Made	Reason for Change	Draft EIR current page number
2-11	Insert sentence regarding need for ALUC review of the project	Response to Comments C-2, D-5, D-6	2-11
2-15	Same as above	Response to Comments C-2, D-5, D-6	2-15
2-19	Insert sentences regarding relocation of existing bus stop	Response to Comment F-1	2-19
2-21	Correct Assessor’s Parcel Number reference	Correct typographic error	2-20
2-21	Insert sentence regarding need for ALUC review of the project	Response to Comments C-2, D-5, D-6	2-22

Draft EIR original page number	Primary Change Made	Reason for Change	Draft EIR current page number
2-25	Expand on airport compatibility zone locations and implications	Response to Comments C-1, C-2, D-5, D-6	2-25
2-25	Change trail description from Class I to Class II	Clarification in response to Comment G-1	2-26
2-26	Correct Assessor's Parcel Number reference	Correct typographic error	2-26
2-31	Insert ALUC consistency determination as a required approval	Response to Comments C-2, D-5, D-6	2-31
3-14	Correct Mitigation Measure number reference	Correct typographic error	3-14
3-16	Correct Mitigation Measure number references	Correct typographic errors	3-16
4-12	Expand introduction to Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan to clarify need for ALUC review of the project	Response to Comments C-1 C-2, D-3, D-5, D-6, D-14	4-12
4-13	Insert Policy 2.3.1 from the Airport Compatibility Plan, which lists the information required by the ALUC in conducting project review	Response to Comment D-11	4-13 and 4-14
4-15	Insert Policy 4.4.2 from the Airport Compatibility Plan, which states the requirements for deed notices	Response to Comment D-14	4-15
4-18 and 4-19	Correct Mitigation Measure references	Correct typographic errors	4-20
4-25	Expand discussion of Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan to clarify need for ALUC and FAA review of the project	Response to Comments C-2, C-3, D-5, D-6, D-10, D-12	4-27 and 4-28
6-33	Expand discussion of Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan height restrictions to clarify need for ALUC and FAA review of the proposed communications tower	Response to Comments C-2, C-3, D-5, D-6, D-10, D-12	6-33
9-1	Reference inclusion of red-legged frog survey report in Appendix D	Report was made available between circulation of Draft EIR and preparation of Final EIR	9-1
9-37	Correct Mitigation Measure references	Correct typographic errors	9-37
14-12	Expand discussion of Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan height restrictions to clarify need for ALUC and FAA review of the proposed communications tower	Response to Comments C-1, C-2, C-3, D-3, D-5, D-6, D-10, D-12	14-12

1.5 EDITS TO MITIGATION MEASURES

No revisions were made to any of the mitigation measures included in the Draft EIR, other than corrections of typographic errors, and no new mitigation measures were added. Only minor edits were made to references to mitigation measure numbers throughout the text of the EIR. As stated above, Chapter 4 of this Final EIR presents the final Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program.